Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s) VERCELLOTTI ET AL.		
	10/716,437			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NIHIR PATEL	3772		

	NIHIR PATEL	3772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09.30,2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filled in the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked, Arry pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NO) v);	E below);			
appeal; and/or	er lotti for appeal by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
see attached sheet.	DTO(OD(O) D N ()				
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: 	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the low frequency having a range from 6-40Hz) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPO2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). If the applicant recites the frequency ranges in an amendment following this advisory action, it will raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.